- Molecular Structure Corporation (1985). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Vegas, A., Cano, F. H. & Garcia-Blanco, S. (1976). J. Solid State Chem. 17, 151–155.
- Verwey, J. W., Coronado, J. M. & Blasse, G. (1991). J. Solid State Chem. 92, 531–536.
- Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 558-564.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 343-345

# Co10Ge3O16

JACQUES BARBIER

Department of Chemistry, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8S 4M1

(Received 13 May 1994; accepted 26 September 1994)

### Abstract

The structure of a new cobalt germanate,  $Co_{10}Ge_3O_{16}$ , has been determined using X-ray diffraction data collected on a single crystal grown by slow cooling of a stoichiometric melt at atmospheric pressure.  $Co_{10}Ge_3O_{16}$  is isostructural with  $(Ni,Mg)_{10}Ge_3O_{16}$  and is also structurally related to the mineral aerugite,  $Ni_{8.5}As_3O_{16}$ . Its crystal structure is based on a cubic close-packing of O atoms with octahedral (Co and Ge) and tetrahedral (Ge) metal-atom sites. It can be described as an intergrowth of  $\{111\}$  spinel triple layers with  $\{111\}$  rock-salt single layers along the  $[001]_{hex}$  direction of the rhombohedral cell.

### Comment

The original determination of phase relations in the NiO-MgO-GeO2 and CoO-MgO-GeO2 systems (Navrotsky, 1973) showed strong similarities between both systems as well as the existence of unidentified intermediate phases in the Co system. The recent discoverv of the compound (Ni,Mg)<sub>10</sub>Ge<sub>3</sub>O<sub>16</sub> (Barbier, 1987; Fleet & Barbier, 1988) prompted a re-investigation of the CoO-MgO-GeO<sub>2</sub> system, which is currently in progress. Initial results have indeed confirmed the existence of the phase  $(Co_{1-x}Mg_x)_{10}Ge_3O_{16}$ , which, unlike the Ni analog, includes the x = 0 end-member, Co<sub>10</sub>Ge<sub>3</sub>O<sub>16</sub>. This new cobalt germanate melts congruently at temperatures between 1573 and 1673 K, which made it possible to grow single crystals from a stoichiometric melt (sealed in a Pt tube to prevent loss of GeO<sub>2</sub> by evaporation). Although no chemical analysis of the crystals has been carried out, their chemical composition is confirmed by the structure refinement.

The Co<sub>10</sub>Ge<sub>3</sub>O<sub>16</sub> structure is based on a 12-layer close-packing of O atoms with a c/a ratio of 4.85, close to the ideal value of  $6\sqrt{2}/\sqrt{3} = 4.90$ . The Co atoms occupy octahedral sites only, whereas the Ge atoms are found in both octahedral and tetrahedral sites (Table 2). No evidence of mixed Co/Ge site occupancy has been shown by the refinement and the displacement parameters of all atoms are nearly isotropic. The atomic distribution has also been confirmed by bond-valence sum calculations (Brown & Altermatt, 1985), which vielded the following values: O1 1.98(1), O2 1.84(1), O3 1.94(1), O4 1.92(1), Col 1.89(1), Co2 1.90(1), Co3 1.95(1), Ge1 4.09(2) and Ge2 3.70(4). This last value indicates that the tetrahedral Ge2-O bonds are stretched, as is also observed in the isostructural compound (Ni,Mg)<sub>10</sub>Ge<sub>3</sub>O<sub>16</sub> (Fleet & Barbier, 1988) and in Mg2GeO4 spinel (Von Dreele, Navrotsky & Bowman, 1977). As shown in Fig. 1, the  $ColO_6$ ,  $Co3O_6$ and Ge2O<sub>4</sub> polyhedra make up a spinel-like triple layer, while the  $Co2O_6$  and  $Ge1O_6$  octahedra make up a rock-salt type single layer. Exactly the same spinelrock salt intergrowth also occurs in (Ni,Mg)10Ge3O16 (Fleet & Barbier, 1988), while related cation-deficient structures are formed by the arsenate mineral aerugite, Ni<sub>8.5</sub>As<sub>3</sub>O<sub>16</sub> (Fleet & Barbier, 1989), its Mg analog (Bless & Kostiner, 1973) and its Co analog (formulated as Co<sub>8</sub>As<sub>3</sub>O<sub>16</sub>; Krishnamachari & Calvo, 1970).

 $Co_{10}Ge_3O_{16}$  forms as part of a  $(Co,Mg)_{10}Ge_3O_{16}$  solid solution which exists on the Co-rich side of the CoO-MgO-GeO<sub>2</sub> system together with a



Fig. 1. Perspective view of the  $Co_{10}Ge_3O_{16}$  structure along the [210] direction. The structure consists of an intergrowth of spinel slabs and rock-salt layers along the  $c_{hex}$  direction of the rhombohedral unit cell. Large, medium and small circles represent Ge, Co and O atoms, respectively. Note that the Ge atoms occupy tetrahedral sites (Ge2) in the spinel slabs, and octahedral sites (Ge1) in the rock-salt layers.

01 02

03

04

(Co,Mg)<sub>2</sub>GeO<sub>4</sub> spinel phase. On the Mg-rich side, an olivine-type (Mg,Co)<sub>2</sub>GeO<sub>4</sub> phase coexists with an (Mg,Co)<sub>14</sub>Ge<sub>5</sub>O<sub>24</sub> solid solution isostructural with Mg<sub>14</sub>Ge<sub>5</sub>O<sub>24</sub> (Von Dreele, Bless, Kostiner & Hughes, 1970). Another new phase forms at intermediate compositions and its structural characterization, together with the elucidation of its relations to the other phases of the system, is currently in progress.

# **Experimental**

Crystals of the title compound were prepared by melting a pre-reacted stoichiometric powder mixture in a sealed platinum tube, which was then cooled from 1723 to 1523 K at 15 K  $h^{-1}$ .

#### Crystal data

 $Co_{10}Ge_3O_{16}$ Ag  $K\alpha$  radiation  $M_r = 1063.07$  $\lambda = 0.5608$  Å Rhombohedral Cell parameters from 26  $R\overline{3}m$ reflections a = 5.951(1) Å  $\theta = 7.39 - 14.90^{\circ}$ c = 28.875(6) Å  $\mu = 11.04 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  $V = 885.59 \text{ Å}^3$ Room temperature Z = 3(001) plate  $D_x = 5.98 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $0.2 \times 0.1 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}$ Reddish brown Data collection

Siemens R3m/V diffractom- $R_{\rm int} = 0.047$ eter  $\theta_{\rm max} = 35^{\circ}$  $\theta/2\theta$  scans  $h=-12 \rightarrow 12$ Absorption correction:  $k = -1 \rightarrow 12$  $l = -1 \rightarrow 58$ empirical 3 standard reflections  $T_{\min} = 0.417, T_{\max} =$ 0.814 2187 measured reflections 1045 independent reflections 1045 observed reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 2.1 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ R(F) = 0.058 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.6 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  $wR(F^2) = 0.069$ Extinction correction: S = 0.820 $F_c^* = kF_c[1 + (0.001\chi$  $\times F_c^2 \lambda^3 / \sin 2\theta) |^{-1/4}$ 1045 reflections 37 parameters Extinction coefficient:  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0283P)^2]$  $\chi = 0.0056(3)$ where  $P = [\max(F_{\rho}^2, 0)]$ Atomic scattering factors  $+ 2F_c^2$ ]/3 from International Tables  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C)

monitored every 100

intensity decay: none

reflections

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	Z	Uea
Gel	0	0	0	0.00461 (13)
Ge2	0	0	0.18922 (2)	0.00471 (11)
Col	0	0	1/2	0.00609 (17)
Co2	1/2	0	0	0.00571 (10)
Co3	0.16336 (4)	1 - x	0.08551 (2)	0.00559 (8)

0		0	0.12779 (13)	0.0068 (7)
0		0	0.37613 (14)	0.0058 (6)
0.29	90 (6)	0.1495 (3)	0.03787 (8)	0.0069 (4)
0.50	26 (2)	1.0053 (4)	0.12507 (8)	0.0062 (3)

# Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Ge1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	1.890 (2)	Co1-O4 <sup>xiii</sup>	2.118 (2)
Ge103	1.890 (2)	Co1-O4 <sup>xiv</sup>	2.118 (2)
Ge1—O3"	1.890 (2)	Co2—O2 <sup>xv</sup>	2.116 (2)
Ge1—O3 <sup>iii</sup>	1.890 (2)	Co2—O2 <sup>xvi</sup>	2.116 (2)
Ge1	1.890 (2)	Co2—O3"	2.117 (2)
Ge1O3 <sup>v</sup>	1.890 (2)	Co2-O3 <sup>xvii</sup>	2.117 (2)
Ge2—O1	1.774 (4)	Co2—O3 <sup>xviii</sup>	2.117 (2)
Ge2—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	1.778 (2)	Co2—O3	2.117 (2)
Ge2—O4 <sup>vii</sup>	1.778 (2)	Co3-O1 <sup>xix</sup>	2.080 (2)
Ge2—O4 <sup>viii</sup>	1.778 (2)	Co304	2.089 (2)
Co1—O4 <sup>ix</sup>	2.118 (2)	Co3—O4 <sup>xx</sup>	2.089 (2)
Co1—O4 <sup>x</sup>	2.118 (2)	Co3—O3 <sup>xxi</sup>	2.123 (2)
Co1-O3 <sup>xi</sup>	2.118 (2)	Co3—O3 <sup>xix</sup>	2.123 (2)
Co1—O4 <sup>xii</sup>	2.118 (2)	Co3—O2 <sup>xxii</sup>	2.143 (2)
O3 <sup>i</sup> —Ge1—O3 <sup>ii</sup>	89.86 (10)	01—Ge2—O4 <sup>vii</sup>	108.02 (8)
O3 <sup>i</sup> —Ge1—O3 <sup>iv</sup>	90.14 (10)	O4 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge2—O4 <sup>vii</sup>	110.88 (7)
O4 <sup>ix</sup> —Co1—O4 <sup>xi</sup>	91.03 (9)	O1 <sup>xix</sup> —Co3—O4	91.13 (8)
O4 <sup>ix</sup> —Co1—O4 <sup>xii</sup>	88.97 (9)	O1 <sup>xix</sup> —Co3—O3 <sup>xix</sup>	91.84 (8)
O3 <sup>ii</sup> —Co2—O2 <sup>xv</sup>	90.35 (7)	O3 <sup>xix</sup> —Co3—O4	94.63 (9)
O3 <sup>ii</sup> —Co2—O2 <sup>xvi</sup>	89.65 (7)	O2 <sup>xxii</sup> —Co3—O4	88.31 (7)
O3—Co2—O3 <sup>ii</sup>	78.37 (12)	O2 <sup>xxii</sup> —Co3—O3 <sup>xix</sup>	88.79 (8)
O3 <sup>ii</sup> —Co2—O3 <sup>xvii</sup>	101.63 (12)	O3 <sup>xxi</sup> —Co3—O3 <sup>xix</sup>	77.89 (12)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, -z; (ii) y, y - x, -z; (iii) x - y, x, -z; (iv) -y, -y + x, z; (v) -x + y, -x, z; (vi)  $\frac{2}{3} + x - y, x - \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3} - z$ ; (vii)  $\frac{2}{3}-x, \frac{4}{3}-y, \frac{1}{3}-z;$  (viii)  $y-\frac{4}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}-x+y, \frac{1}{3}-z;$  (ix)  $\frac{1}{3}+x-y, x-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}-z;$  $(x) - \frac{1}{3} - x + y, \frac{1}{3} - x, \frac{1}{3} + z;$  (xi)  $y - \frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3} - x + y, \frac{2}{3} - z;$  (xii)  $\frac{2}{3} - y, \frac{1}{3} + x - y, \frac{1}{3} + z;$  (xiii)  $\frac{1}{3} - x, \frac{2}{3} - y, \frac{2}{3} - z;$  (xiv)  $x - \frac{1}{3}, y - \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3} + z;$  $(xv)\frac{1}{3} + x, y - \frac{1}{3}, z - \frac{1}{3}; (xvi)\frac{2}{3} - x, \frac{1}{3} - y, \frac{1}{3} - z; (xvii)1 - x, -y, -z;$ (xviii) 1 - y, -y + x, z; (xix) x, 1 + y, z; (xx) 1 - y, 1 - y + x, z; (xxi) -x + y, 1 - x, z; (xxii)  $\frac{1}{3} + x, \frac{2}{3} + y, z - \frac{1}{3}.$ 

Data collection: R3m/V Crystallographic Research System (Siemens, 1989). Cell refinement and data reduction: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1991). Structure solution: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Structure refinement: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL-Plus.

This work was supported by a research grant from the Canadian Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council. The intensity data were collected by Dr J. Britten of McMaster University.

Lists of structure factors and anisotropic displacement parameters have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BR1086). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

Barbier, J. (1987). J. Solid State Chem. 68, 52-60.

- Bless, P. W. & Kostiner, E. (1973). J. Solid State Chem. 6, 80-85.
- Brown, I. D. & Altermatt, D. (1985). Acta Cryst. B41, 244-247.
- Fleet, M. E. & Barbier, J. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 232-234.
- Fleet, M. E. & Barbier, J. (1989). Acta Cryst. B45, 201-205.
- Krishnamachari, N. & Calvo, C. (1970). Can. J. Chem. 48, 3124-3131.
- Navrotsky, A. (1973). J. Solid State Chem. 6, 21-41.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1991). SHELXTL-Plus. Release 4.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.

- Siemens (1989). R3m/V Crystallographic Research System. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Von Dreele, R. B., Bless, P. W., Kostiner, E. & Hughes, R. E. (1970). J. Solid State Chem. 2, 612–618.
- Von Dreele, R. B., Navrotsky, A. & Bowman, A. L. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 2287-2288.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 345-346

# Nickel Bistrifluorostannate(II) Hexahydrate, [Ni(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>](SnF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>

#### ISAAC ABRAHAMS

Department of Chemistry, Queen Mary and Westfield College, Mile End Road, London El 4NS, England

JOHN D. DONALDSON AND ZAHIDA I. KHAN

Department of Chemistry, Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, England

(Received 4 August 1994; accepted 4 October 1994)

## Abstract

The structure of the title compound has been solved by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Nickel is present as the hexaaquanickel cation,  $[Ni(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ , which is balanced in charge by discrete trigonal pyramidal  $[SnF_3]^-$  complex anions in a 1:2 ratio. The non-bonding electron pairs on tin are stereochemically active.

#### Comment

The title compound is one of a series of complex tin(II) fluorides involving first-row transition metals of the general formula  $M(SnF_3)_2.6H_2O$  (Donaldson & Oteng, 1969). The crystal structure of the title compound represents the first of a nickel tin(II) fluoride.

The structure of the title compound contains the  $[Ni(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  cation which is balanced in charge by two  $[SnF_3]^-$  complex anions. Unlike many complex tin(II) fluorides, the structure of the title compound contains isolated  $[SnF_3]^-$  anions. This is in contrast to the network structures adopted by other complex stannous fluorides such as  $Cs_2Sn_6Br_3F_{11}$  (Abrahams, Donaldson & Grimes, 1992), SnBrF<sub>5</sub> (Donaldson, Laughlin & Puxley, 1977; Vilminot, Granier & Cot, 1978) and Sn<sub>4</sub>OF<sub>6</sub> (Abrahams, Clark, Donaldson, Khan & Southern, 1994), which show one-, two- and three-dimensional polymeric bridging structures, respectively. The non-bonding electron pairs on the Sn atoms, in common with most stannous fluorides,

show strong stereochemical activity with no evidence for direct Sn—Sn interaction.

The Sn—F bond lengths of 2.040 (3)–2.057 (6) Å are comparable with those of other systems that have isolated  $[SnF_3]^-$  anions, *e.g.* 2.08 Å in NH<sub>4</sub>SnF<sub>3</sub> (Bergerhoff & Namgung, 1978) and 2.053–2.096 Å in N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>(SnF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Kaučič, Leban, Gašperšič-Škander, Gantar & Rahten, 1988). The structure of the cobalt analogue has been determined (Maury & Gleizes, 1980) and is isostructural with the title structure, with similar Sn—F bond lengths of 2.049–2.052 Å.



Fig. 1. Unit-cell projection of  $[Ni(H_2O)_6](SnF_3)_2$ . Atomic displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% level.

#### Experimental

 $[Ni(H_2O)_6](SnF_3)_2$  was prepared by dissolving appropriate molar quantities of NiF<sub>2</sub> and SnF<sub>2</sub> in a minimum amount of boiling water. After slow cooling, pale green triclinic prisms were formed which were collected and dried *in vacuo* over silica gel.

Crystal data

$[Ni(H_2O)_6](SnF_3)_2$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 518.19$	$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$
Triclinic	Cell parameters from 25
$P\overline{1}$	reflections
a = 6.799 (20)  Å	$\theta = 14.142 - 17.764^{\circ}$
b = 6.938(7) Å	$\mu = 5.675 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 7.011 (5) Å	T = 291 (2)  K
$\alpha = 77.51 (7)^{\circ}$	Triclinic prism
$\beta = 72.59 (10)^{\circ}$	$0.22 \times 0.20 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$
$\gamma = 78.50 (13)^{\circ}$	Pale green
$V = 304.9 (10) Å^3$	-
Z = 1	
$D_r = 2.822 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1995